



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

into which *Mr. Gibson* fell, when he supposed the *High Court* had decided that *negroes* were not entitled to vote, according to the Constitution of 1790.

It is to be recollected that more than *forty* years intervened from 1795 to 1837; that *Mr. Gibson* at the latter period was far advanced in life—that all *Mr. Gibson* could remember, was, that such a point had been ruled by the High Court of Errors and Appeals, about the year 1795. He could not name the parties, nor the counsel concerned in it, nor indeed any thing which could by association of ideas assist his memory. *He had been invited to take part in the argument, but had declined.* He also said that the judges had given a judgment merely, but had given no reasons for it.

Now the points of resemblance between the case of *negro Flora* and *Mr. Gibson's* supposed case, are quite apparent. Both were questions upon the constitution of 1790—both concerned the *rights of negroes*. The judges gave *no reasons* for the judgment, but contented themselves in *negro Flora's* case, by the simple declaration, “that it was their unanimous opinion, that slavery was not inconsistent with any clause of the constitution of Pennsylvania.” *Mr. Gibson* gives substantially the same account of the decision which he named. The time of the decisions may be considered the same.

These coincidences are quite sufficient to account for confusion in the mind of any one, after so great a lapse of time, and on a subject with which the original tie was so weak, and on which there is no reason to think the mind had been exercised at all in the interval.

G. M. S.

NOTICES OF NEW BOOKS.

AN ANALYTICAL DIGEST OF THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, from the adoption of the Constitution to the end of the Thirty-Fourth Congress, 1789–1857. By Frederick C. Brightly, Esq., of the Philadelphia Bar; author of “The Law of Costs,” “Equity Jurisprudence,” etc.; editor of “Purdon’s Digest of the Laws of Pennsylvania,” etc. Philadelphia: Kay & Brother, 19 South Sixth Street, Law Booksellers, Publishers and Importers. 1858. pp. 1083.

In the American Law Register, Vol. V. p. 254, the editors announced the passing through the press of this work. The opinion there expressed by a study of one or two titles in advance of publication has not been in

the least modified by a more careful study of several longer titles. The advantages of this Digest over any other as yet printed, seem more especially to be the following :

1. The matter is arranged alphabetically, with analytical divisions and subdivisions, under which the chronological arrangement is, as far as possible, preserved.

2. It possesses marginal references to each section, whereby its contents can be seen at a glance.

3. The Notes of Judicial Decisions, (including not only those of the Supreme Court of the United States, but also those of the Circuit and District Courts and Court of Claims, many of which are scattered through the legal periodicals and not to be found elsewhere, many decisions of the State Courts, the opinions of the Attorneys-General and Heads of Departments) are placed at *the foot of each page*, and refer to the respective sections of which they are the judicial interpretation.

4. The reference to the acts is placed in the margin of the page.

5. The Contents comprises the titles of each subject, and the various subdivisions into which each title has been distributed.

6. The INDEX is comprehensive, and refers not only to the laws, but also to the Constitution of the United States. The matter is arranged under *local*, as well as *general* titles; for instance, the names of States, Territories, Cities, and many of the ports of the United States, are found in their alphabetical order, with a summary of the legislation in which each of them is interested. The section, as well as the page, is given, by means of which, greatly increased facility and speed in the use of the work is obtained.

The mere statement of these advantages should at once give this work the preference over every other of a like character which is without them. It is difficult to see how a digest could be made more complete, comprehensive, and convenient. If Mr. Brightly has rigidly followed out and fairly executed his plan, we have a book whose excellence cannot be overrated. It is quite impossible for an editor to read more than a small portion of any very voluminous book upon which he is called to pass judgment, but the study of any small part of a comprehensive work generally exhibits the characteristic merits and defects.

The well known industry and learning of Mr. Brightly are a sure guarantee for the fidelity and skill with which this book has been prepared. A careful perusal of certain titles taken at random from this volume, has

not enabled us to discover any errors, or any defective execution of the general plan.

If commendation were needed, the numerous letters which have been sent to the publishers, in approval of the plan and execution of the Digest from all parts of the Union, alike from judges and practising members of the bar, would suffice to express the respect with which the learned editor's labors are regarded.

It is perhaps only just to the publishers, the Messrs. Kay, of this city, to say that the typographical and mechanical execution of this book, letter press and binding, are all worthy of great praise; no parsimonious effort at an economy which tries the patience and eyesight of the purchaser, having been attempted throughout the whole of the one thousand and eighty odd pages.

A TREATISE ON AMERICAN RAILROAD LAW. By EDWARD L. PIERCE, of the Boston Bar. Published by John S. Voorhies, New York. For sale by H. W. Derby & Co.

We have read this book with some interest. Aside from its value as a contribution to law literature, it is rather striking, as one of the signs of the times. Not many years have passed since railroads were invented, and already a summary of the litigation to which they have given rise, fills a volume of six hundred pages.

The book begins with a formation of a railroad company, and the general frame, as well as the construction of the charter. The company is then considered, first in its relation to the legislature, next in its relation to the stockholders. After a full discussion of the acquisition of the right of way and real estate, both by purchase and condemnation, and of the location of the road, follows an elaborate statement of the liability of the company for torts, a most complete statement of the law on that topic. Several chapters on the contracts of railroad companies, and their liability as common carriers, are followed by a chapter on remedies sought by and against such corporations, and a concluding chapter on their capacity to make a valid mortgage.

We find here, in a convenient compass, the latest law upon several questions upon which the courts have hardly yet settled down into fixed opinions. The liability of a company for injury to its servants, for injury to cattle,